June 14, 2005

Mr. David Caylor City Attorney City of Irving 825 West Irving Boulevard Irving, Texas 75060

OR2005-05214

Dear Mr. Caylor:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 225987.

The City of Irving (the "city") received a request for "the winning proposal submitted to the [city] for the Consultant Study for Irving Arts Center and Irving Arts Board (Proposal #75-05F)." You make no arguments as to whether the requested information is excepted from disclosure, but you state that the request may involve third party proprietary interests. Accordingly, you inform us, and provide documentation showing, that pursuant to section 552.305 of the Government Code, you notified Wolf, Keens & Company ("Wolf") of the request for information and of its right to submit arguments explaining why the information concerning the company should not be released. See Gov't Code § 552.305 (permitting interested third party to submit to attorney general reasons why requested information should not be released); see also Open Records Decision No. 542 (1990) (determining that statutory predecessor to section 552.305 permits governmental body to rely on interested third party to raise and explain applicability of exception in certain circumstances). We have reviewed the submitted information.

We note that an interested third party is allowed ten business days after the date of its receipt of the governmental body's notice under section 552.305(d) to submit its reasons, if any, as to why requested information relating to that party should be withheld from disclosure. See Gov't Code § 552.305(d)(2)(B). As of the date of this letter, this office has not received

comments from Wolf explaining how the release of the submitted information will affect the company's proprietary interests. Thus, Wolf has not demonstrated that any of the submitted information is proprietary for purposes of the Act. See Gov't Code § 552.110; see also, e.g., Open Records Decision Nos. 661 at 5-6 (1999) (to prevent disclosure of commercial or financial information, party must show by specific factual evidence, not conclusory or generalized allegations, that release of requested information would cause that party substantial competitive harm), 552 at 5 (1990) (party must establish prima facie case that information is trade secret), 542 at 3 (1990). Accordingly, the city may not withhold any of the requested information on the basis of any proprietary interest that Wolf may have in the information. Because you make no arguments as to whether the information is excepted from disclosure and the submitted information is not otherwise confidential by law, you must release the requested information to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Robert B. Rapfogel

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

RBR/krl

Ref: ID# 225987

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Jeff Clement
Convention, Sports, and Entertainment Practice
KPMG LLP
100 North Tampe Street, Suite 1700
Tampa, Florida 33602
(w/o enclosures)

Mr. Mark Goldring
Vice President
Wolf, Keens & Company
River Court, Suite 102
10 Rogers Street
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142
(w/o enclosures)